





William (Bill) Seed SVP Facilities Design & Construction Jackson Health System



Bernita Beikman Chef Process Officer HKS, Inc



Design Award



LCI Design Award

- The LCI Design Award recognizes delivering outstanding design while advancing the industry through collaborative Lean project delivery. The purpose of this award is to encourage Lean in Design and recognize the architects, engineers, designers, clients, and constructors who work together to improve the design and construction process and produce design excellence in the built environment.
 - The jury evaluates submissions based on the six tenets of Lean (50%) and design aesthetic (50%).
 - The submitter must be from the lead design team and a LCI corporate member. If not a corporate member, a corporate member can co-submit
 - Submission window opened May 4th; submissions were due on June 30th.



LCI Design Award Planning Team

- Stan Chiu, Gensler
- Bernita Beikmann, HKS
- Lisa Hitchingham, SSOE
- Michael Murray, The Beck Group
- Romano Nickerson, Boulder Associates
- Neelanjana Sen, HGA
- Andrea Sponsel, HKS
- Steve Wilson, HMC Architects

LCI Design Award Jury

- Stan Chiu, Gensler (facilitator)
- Bernita Beikmann, HKS (facilitator)
- Long Duong, Director, Facilities Planning & Design, Kaiser Permanente
- Cliff Moser, Heathcare Construction Architect/Standard of Care Consultant
- Victoria Navarro, Regional Director for Planning, Design and Construction, Advocate Aurora Health
- Zofia Rybkowski, Associate Professor, Dept of Construction Science, College of Architecture, Texas A&M
- Bill Seed, SVP Facilities Design & Construction, Jackson Health System

Choosing by Advantages

	Entry 1	Entry 2	Entry 3	Entry 4	Entry 5	Entry 6	Entry 7	
	Alternative 1	Alternative 2	Alternative 3	Alternative 4	Alternative 5	Alternative 6	Alternative 7	Notes
lethods								
Navarro Duong								
Seed								
Moser								
Rybkowski								
Mean								
100000000000000000000000000000000000000								
ale Approach								
Navarro								
Duong								
Seed								
Moser Rybkowski								_
Mean								
THE STATE OF THE S								
pproach				1				
Navarro								
Duong								
Seed								
Moser								
Rybkowski								
Mean								
not for Bonnia								
ect for People Navarro								
Navarro Duong								
Seed								
Moser	-	1						
Rybkowski								
Mean								
Median								
ration of Value								
Navarro								
Duong								
Seed Moser								
Rybkowski Mean								_
Median								
mize the Whole				I I				
Navarro		3						We had this
Duong		7						a Yes/ No Re
Seed								gave a 1-5
Moser								We had this a Yes/ No Re so even if gave a 1-5 there is a 1 and 0 fo
Rybkowski								and 0 fo
Mean								
oval of Waste								_
Navarro								
Duong								
Seed								
Moser								
Rybkowski								
Mean								
Median								
ss/ Flow								We had this
Navarro								a Yes/ No R
Duong Seed								so even if
Seed Moser								there is a 1
Rybkowski								We had this a Yes/ No Ro so even if gave a 1-5 there is a 1 and 0 fo
Mean								
Median								
nuous Improvement				I I				
Navarro								We had this
Duong								a Yes/ No R
Seed								so even if
Moser								there is a 1
Rybkowski								We had this a Yes/ No R so even if gave a 1-5 there is a 1 and 0 fo
Mean								w/out Bernit
all Aesthetic								
Navarro								
Duong Seed								
Seed Moser								
Duhkmurki								
Rybkowski								

Choosing by Advantages

Choosing By Advantages Study of LCI Design Award 2022

LEGEND Underline Least Preferred Attribute Yellow cell = most important Advantage in Factor Blank = no advantage Circle = paramount advantage

Factor:	Lean Methods/ Techniques
Criteria:	Quality and depth of the use of Lean methods/ techniques on the project. This will be subjective- jurors site examples. Rate on a scale of 1-5 Higher is better.
	Attribute:
	Advantage:
Factor:	Schedule Approach
Criteria:	The team met the schedule constraints of the project. Yes is better.
	Attribute:

Factor:	Cost Approach				
Criteria:	The team met the cost constraints of the project. Yes is Better.				
	Attribute				
	Advantage.				
Factor:	Respect for People				
Criteria:	Give one each point for illustration of the following criteria: 1.Including and meeting EDI Goals 2.Human centered design- design for HSW 3.Team enviroment/ sense of community Rate on a scale of 1-3. More points is better.				
	Attribute				
	Advantage.				
Factor:	Generation of Value				
Criteria:	Generated value towards design excellence. Number of described instances where methods were used to enhance design vision, accomplish specific design KPIs, and increase aesthetic or performance of the project. Rate on a scale of 1-5. More is Better.				
	Attribute.				
	Advantage.				
Factor:	Optimize the Whole				
Criteria:	Demonstration of holistic thinking and true integration of design and delivery in the project story. Yes is Better.				
	Attribute				
	Advantage				

Factor:	Demoval of Wests
	Removal of Waste
Criteria:	Strength of the story around removing waste in the
	project, methodologies used, and affect of
	improvement.
	Rate their waste story from 1-5
	Higher Number is Better.
	Attribute:
	Advantage:
Factor:	Focus on Process and Flow
Criteria:	Team used Last Planner System® in Design/
	construction (or other Process Flow tools)
	Yes is Better
	Attribute:
	Advantage:
Factor:	Continuous Improvement
Criteria:	Describe innovations used in design and project
	delivery that improved the project. Did the project
	and team improve over the life of the project, did
	they learn?
	Yes is Better.
	Attribute:
	Advantage:
Factor:	Overall Aesthetic
Criteria:	Rate projects on how the built form manifests the
	stated intent through innovative materiality, shape,
	spatial organization, detailing, etc.
	Rate on scale from 1-5
	Higher number is better
	Attribute:
	Advantage:
	Lean Total
Aestheti	ic Total
, .55111011	

Lean +Aesthetics Total





LCI Design Award Honorable Mention

- Advocate Outpatient Center Oak Lawn
 - Owner: Peter Messina, Director, Design & Construction, Advocate Aurora Health
 - Architect: Michael Doiel, Senior VP, HDR
 - General Contractor: Ryan Yoho, Director, Construction Management, The Boldt Company



Extensive use of Lean design & build concepts driving continuous improvement project over project





LCI Design Award Honorable Mention

- The Pavilion at the Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania
 - Owner: Stephen Greulich,
 Assistant VP, Real Estate Design
 Construction, University of
 Pennsylvania Health System
 - Architect: Michael Doiel, Senior VP, HDR;
 - General Contractor: Richard Ryan,
 Operations VP, Balfour Beatty
 - Construction Manager: Ed Hanzel, VP, LF Driscoll



Significant Lean study to "right size" the space and adjacencies, complex urban mega-project with contemporary blend to a historic city





LCI Design Award Winner 2022

- Joan and Sanford I. Weill Neurosciences Building Team
 - Owner: Shawn Mazzeo, Sr. Project Manager, Real Estate, University of California, San Francisco
 - Architect of Record: Suzanne Napier, Science
 & Technology Studio Leader, SmithGroup, Inc.
 - CM-at-Risk Builder: Tim Kueht, Project Executive, **DPR Construction**
 - Program Manager: Andrew Mittleman, Project Executive, Jacobs
 - Design Architect: Mark Cavagnero Associates
 - Structural Engineer: Degenkolb
 - D/B Mechanical: Critchfield Mechanical (CMI)
 - D/B Electrical: Cupertino Electric, Inc.
 - D/B Plumbing: Southland Industries
 - D/B façade: Walters & Wolf Glass Company



Both Lean design & build concepts proving lean does not have to sacrifice high design and beautiful outcomes.





Contact Us about Design Award '23

Bernita Beikmann

HKS

bbeikmann@hksinc.com

Stan Chiu

Gensler

Stan_Chiu@gensler.com

Joan Piccariello

LCI

joanp@leanconstruction.org





Pioneer Award



LCI Pioneer Award

Hanson Bridgett:

- Howard Ashcraft
- Lisa Dal Gallo





Chairman's Award



LCI Chairman's Award

• Douglas Lee, Brasfield & Gorrie





24TH LCI CONGRESS

OCTOBER 18-21